

1961

Nationalism: A Religion

Carlton J.H. Hayes

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digital-commons.usnwc.edu/nwc-review>

Recommended Citation

Hayes, Carlton J.H. (1961) "Nationalism: A Religion," *Naval War College Review*: Vol. 14 : No. 5 , Article 7.
Available at: <https://digital-commons.usnwc.edu/nwc-review/vol14/iss5/7>

This Book Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at U.S. Naval War College Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Naval War College Review by an authorized editor of U.S. Naval War College Digital Commons. For more information, please contact repository.inquiries@usnwc.edu.

maintenance of a material and political status quo. This work of Dr. Morgenthau is a thought-provoking and readable book, recommended to all having interest in the political struggle taking place in the world today.

Hayes, Carlton J.H. *Nationalism: A Religion*. New York: Macmillan, 1960. 187 p.

As the title implies, the author develops the thesis that modern nationalism, born in Europe and Anglo-America some 150 to 200 years ago, and more recently embraced by most of the rest of the world, has all the aspects of a religion, and he leaves it to the reader to decide for himself that it has been the dominant religion in the industrialized countries of the world.

Furnia, Arthur H. *The Diplomacy of Appeasement: Anglo-French Relations and the Prelude to World War II*. Washington: University Press, 1960. 454 p.

Based on both published and unpublished diplomatic correspondence, and extensively annotated, this text affords a wealth of material for the serious student of international relations. It is, however, difficult reading for the layman, since the analytic treatment of events presupposes a scholarly knowledge of European politics.

Smith, J. Malcolm and Cornelius P. Cotter. *Powers of the President During Crises*. Washington: Public Affairs Press, 1960. 184 p.

This book, an exhaustive examination of the *emergency power* of the President, fills in one of the most serious gaps in the available works on the Presidency. In addition, it reveals a graphic picture of the broad extent to which emergency power has been used by the Government of the United States in recent years.